arXiv:1505.06610v2 [math.NT] 1 Jul 2015

On the lower bound of the discrepancy of (t, s) sequences: I

Mordechay B. Levin,

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, 52900, Israel

Reçu le *****; accepté après révision le +++++

Présenté par

Abstract

We find the exact lower bound of the discrepancy of shifted Niedereiter's sequences.

To cite this article: A. Nom1, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I .

Résumé

Sur la limite inférieure de la discrépance de (t, s) séquences : I

Nous trouvons une limite inférieure de la discrépance de séquences décalés de Niedereiter.

Pour citer cet article : A. Nom1, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I .

---

1. Introduction

Let $((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n\geq 1})$ be an s-dimensional sequence in the unit cube $[0, 1)^s$, $J_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = [0, \gamma_1) \times \cdots \times [0, \gamma_s) \subseteq [0, 1)^s$,

$$\Delta((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^N, J_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) = \sum_{0\leq n<N} \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x}_n, J_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) - N\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_s, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x}, J) = 1$, if $\mathbf{x} \in J$ and $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x}, J) = 0$, if $\mathbf{x} \notin J$. We define the star *discrepancy* of a N-point set $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^N$ as

$$D^*((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^N) = \sup_{0<\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_s\leq 1} |\Delta((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^N, J_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})|/N.$$

Let $((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n\geq 1})$ be an arbitrary sequence in $[0, 1)^s$. According to the well-known conjecture (see e.g. [1, p.67], [3, p.32])

$$\overline{\lim}_{N\to\infty} N(\ln N)^{-s} D^*((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}) > 0. \tag{2}$$

Email address: `mlevin@math.biu.ac.il` (Mordechay B. Levin).

Definition 1. *Let $b \ge 2, s \ge 1$, and $0 \le u \le m$ be integers and let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \cdots, e_s) \in \mathbf{N^s}$. A $(u, m, \mathbf{e}, s)$-net in base b is a point set $\mathcal{P}$ of b^m points in $[0,1)^s$ such that every subinterval $J \subseteq [0,1)^s$ of volume $\mathrm{Vol}(J) \ge b^{u-m}$ which has the form $J = \prod_{1 \le i \le s} [a_i b^{-d_i}, (a_i+1) b^{-d_i})$, with integers $d_i \ge 0$, $0 \le a_i < b^{d_i}$ and $e_i | d_i$ for $1 \le i \le s$, contains exactly $b^m \mathrm{Vol}(J)$ points of $\mathcal{P}$.*

If $e = (e_1, ..., e_s) = (1, ..., 1)$, we obtain a classical (u, m, s)-net. For $x = \sum_{j \ge 1} x_j p_i^{-j}$, where $x_i \in Z_b = \{0, ..., b-1\}$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$, we define the truncation $[x]_m = \sum_{1 \le j \le m} x_j b^{-j}$. If $\mathbf{x} = (x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(s)}) \in [0,1)^s$, then the truncation $[\mathbf{x}]_m$ is defined coordinatewise, that is, $[\mathbf{x}]_m = ([x^{(1)}]_m, ..., [x^{(s)}]_m)$.

Definition 2. *Let $b \ge 2, s \ge 1$, and $0 \le u \le m$ be integers and let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \cdots, e_s) \in \mathbf{N^s}$. A sequence $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, ...$ of points in $[0,1)^s$ is a $(u, \mathbf{e}, s)$-sequence in base b if for all integers $k \ge 0$ and $m > u$ the points $[\mathbf{x}_n]_m$ with $kb^m \le n < (k+1)b^m$ form a $(u, m, \mathbf{e}, s)$-net in base b.*

If $e = (e_1, ..., e_s) = (1, \cdots, 1)$, we obtain a classical (u, s)-sequence. For $x = \sum_{j \ge 1} x_i p_i^{-i}$, and $\gamma = \sum_{j \ge 1} \gamma_i p_i^{-i}$ where $x_i, \gamma_i \in Z_b$, we define the (b-adic) digitally shifted point v by $v = x \oplus \gamma := \sum_{j \ge 1} v_i p_i^{-i}$, where $v_i \equiv x_i + \gamma_i (\mod b)$ and $v_i \in Z_b$. For higher dimensions $s > 1$ let $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma^{(1)}, ..., \gamma^{(s)}) \in [0,1)^s$. For $\mathbf{x} = (x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(s)}) \in [0,1)^s$ we define the (b-adic) digital shifted point $\mathbf{v}$ by $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} \oplus \boldsymbol{\gamma} = (x^{(1)} \oplus \gamma^{(1)}, ..., x^{(s)} \oplus \gamma^{(s)})$. For $n_1, n_2 \in [0, b^m)$, we define $n_1 \oplus n_2 := (n_1/b^m \oplus n_2/b^m) b^m$.

For $x = \sum_{j \ge 1} x_i p_i^{-i}$, where $x_i \in Z_b$, $x_i = 0$ $(i = 1, ..., k)$ and $x_{k+1} \ne 0$ we define the absolute valuation $\|.\|_b$ of x by $\|x\|_b = b^{-k-1}$. Let $\|n\|_b = b^k$ for $n \in [b^k, b^{k+1})$.

Definition 3. *A digital point set $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{0 \le n < b^m}$ in $[0,1)^s$ is $d-$admissible in base b if*

$$\min_{0 \le k < n < b^m} \|\mathbf{x}_n \ominus \mathbf{x}_k\|_b > b^{-m-d} \quad \text{where} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_b := \prod_{i=1}^{s} \left\| x^{(i)} \right\|_b . \tag{3}$$

A sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \ge 0}$ in $[0,1)^s$ is $d-$admissible in base b if $\inf_{n > k \ge 0} \|n \ominus k\|_b \|\mathbf{x}_n \ominus \mathbf{x}_k\|_b \ge b^{-d}$.

By [3, p. 60] $ND^*((\beta_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}) = O((\ln N)^s)$ for every (t,s)-sequence $(\beta_n)_{n \ge 0}$. In this paper we prove that this estimate is exact for digitally shifted $d-$admissible (t,s) sequences and in particular for digitally shifted Niederreiter's sequence (see e.g. [1]-[4]). This result supports the conjecture (2). In [2] we prove that (t,s) sequences from [1, Section 8] are $d-$admissibles.

Theorem 1. *Let $s \ge 2$, $d \ge 1$, $E_m = \{[y]_m \mid y \in [0,1)\}$, $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{0 \le n < b^m}$ be a $d-$admissible (t, m, s) net in base b, $m \ge 9(d+t)(s-1)^2$. Then*

$$\max_{\mathbf{w} \in E_m^s} b^m D^*((\mathbf{x}_n \oplus \mathbf{w})_{0 \le n < b^m}) \ge b^{-d} K_{d,t,s}^{-s+1} m^{s-1} \quad \text{with} \quad K_{d,t,s} = 4(d+t)(s-1)^2.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $s \ge 1$, $d \ge 1$, $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \ge 0}$ be an $d-$admissible (t,s) sequence in base b. Then*

$$1 + \min_{0 \le Q < b^m} \max_{1 \le N \le b^m, \mathbf{w} \in E_m^s} ND^*((\mathbf{x}_{n \oplus Q} \oplus \mathbf{w})_{0 \le n < N}) \ge b^{-d} K_{d,t,s+1}^{-s} m^s \quad \text{for} \quad m \ge 9(d+t)s^2. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 3. *Let $s \ge 1$, $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \ge 0}$ be a generalized Niederreiter sequence with generating polynomials $p_1, ..., p_s$, (see [1, p.266], [4, p. 242]), $\mathrm{e}_i = \deg(p_i)$ $1 \le i \le s$, $e_0 = e_1 + \cdots + e_s$, $d = e_0$, $t = e_0 - s$. Then (4) hold.*

2. Proof

Lemma 1. *Let* $\dot{s} \geq 2$, $d \geq 1$, $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{0 \leq n < b^m}$ *be an* $d-$*admissible* $(t, m, \dot{s})$ *net in base* b, $d_0 = d + t$, $\hat{e} \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 < \epsilon \leq (2 d_0 \hat{e} (\dot{s} - 1))^{-1}$, $\dot{m} = [m\epsilon]$, $\ddot{m}_i = 0$, $\dot{m}_i = d_0 \hat{e} \dot{m}$ $(1 \leq i \leq \dot{s} - 1)$, $\ddot{m}_{\dot{s}} = m - (\dot{s} - 1)\dot{m}_1 - t \geq 1$, $\dot{m}_{\dot{s}} = \ddot{m}_{\dot{s}} + \dot{m}_1$, $B_i \subset \{0, ..., \dot{m} - 1\}$ $(1 \leq i \leq \dot{s})$, $\mathbf{w} \in E_m^{\dot{s}}$ *and let* $\gamma^{(i)} = \gamma_1^{(i)}/b + ... + \gamma_{\dot{m}_i}^{(i)}/b^{\dot{m}_i}$,

$$\gamma^{(i)}_{\ddot{m}_i + d_0(\hat{j}_i \hat{e} + \check{j}_i) + \check{j}_i} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq \check{j}_i < d_0, \qquad \gamma^{(i)}_{\ddot{m}_i + d_0(\hat{j}_i \hat{e} + \check{j}_i) + \check{j}_i} = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \check{j}_i = d_0, \tag{5}$$

and $\hat{j}_i \in \{0, ..., \dot{m} - 1\} \setminus B_i$, $0 \leq \check{j}_i < \hat{e}$, $1 \leq i \leq \dot{s}$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma^{(1)}, ..., \gamma^{(\dot{s})})$, $B = \# B_1 + ... + \# B_{\dot{s}}$. *Let there exists* $n_0 \in [0, b^m)$ *such that* $[(\mathbf{x}_{n_0} \oplus \mathbf{w})^{(i)}]_{\dot{m}_i} = \gamma^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq \dot{s}$, *and* $m \geq 4\epsilon^{-1}(\dot{s} - 1)(1 + \dot{s}B) + 2t$. *Then*

$$\tilde{\Delta} := \Delta((\mathbf{x}_n \oplus \mathbf{w})_{0 \leq n < b^m}, J_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) \leq -b^{-d} \big(\hat{e}\epsilon(2(\dot{s} - 1))^{-1}\big)^{\dot{s}-1} m^{\dot{s}-1} + b^{t+s} d_0 \hat{e} B m^{\dot{s}-2}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, ..., r_{\dot{s}}) \in \mathbf{N}^{\dot{\mathbf{s}}}$, $r_0 = r_1 + \cdots + r_{\dot{s}}$, $A = \{\mathbf{r} \mid 1 \leq r_i \leq \dot{m}_i,\ i = 1, ..., \dot{s} \text{ and } \gamma_{r_1}^{(1)} ... \gamma_{r_{\dot{s}}}^{(\dot{s})} \neq 0\}$, $\dot{A} = \{\mathbf{r} \in A \mid \exists i \in [1, \dot{s}] :\ [(r_i - \ddot{m}_i - 1)/(d_0 \hat{e})] \in B_i\}$, $A_1 = \{\mathbf{r} \in A \mid r_0 \leq m - t\}$, $A_2 = \{\mathbf{r} \in A \cap \dot{A} \mid r_0 > m - t\}$, $A_3 = \{\mathbf{r} \in A \setminus \dot{A} \mid m - t < r_0 < m + d\}$ and $A_4 = \{\mathbf{r} \in A \setminus \dot{A} \mid r_0 \geq m + d\}$. We have $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4$. Let

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \dot{s}} [0, \gamma^{(i)}) \ \textit{and} \qquad J_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{g}} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \dot{s}} \big[[\gamma^{(i)}]_{r_i - 1} + g_i b^{-r_i}, [\gamma^{(i)}]_{r_i - 1} + (g_i + 1) b^{-r_i}\big).$$

Similarly to [3, p. 37,38], from (1) we have that $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_4$, where

$$\Delta_i = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in A_i} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(\mathbf{r})}, \qquad \Psi_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \sum_{0 \leq g_i < \gamma_{r_i}^{(i)},\ 1 \leq i \leq \dot{s}} \Psi_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{g}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{g}} = \sum_{0 \leq n < b^m} \big(\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x}_n \oplus \mathbf{w}, J_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{g}}) - b^{-r_0}\big).$$

Consider Δ_1. Bearing in mind that $(\mathbf{x}_n \oplus \mathbf{w})_{0 \leq n < b^m}$ is a $(t, m, \dot{s})$ net, we obtain $\Psi_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{g}} = 0$. Hence $\Delta_1 = 0$.
Consider Δ_2. It is easy to verify that $\Delta_2 \leq b^{t + \dot{s} - 1} d_0 \hat{e} B m^{\dot{s} - 2}$.
Consider Δ_3. We see that $r_0 \in (m - t, m + d)$. Hence $r_{\dot{s}} = r_0 - r_1 - ... - r_{\dot{s}-1} > m - t - (\dot{s} - 1)\dot{m}_1 = \ddot{m}_{\dot{s}}$. Taking into account that $\gamma_{r_i}^{(i)} \neq 0$ and $[(r_i - \ddot{m}_i - 1)/(d_0 \hat{e})] \notin B_i$, we get $r_i = \ddot{m}_i + d_0 j_i$ with some $j_i \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq \dot{s}$. Hence

$$r_0 = r_1 + \cdots + r_{\dot{s}} = \ddot{m}_{\dot{s}} + d_0(j_1 + \cdots + j_{\dot{s}}) = m - t + d_0(j_1 + \cdots + j_{\dot{s}} - (\dot{s} - 1)\hat{e}\dot{m}) > m - t.$$

Thus $r_0 \geq m - t + d_0 = m + d$. We have a contradiction. Hence $A_3 = \emptyset$ and $\Delta_3 = 0$.
Consider Δ_4. Suppose that $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x}_k \oplus \mathbf{w}, J_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{0}}) = 1$ for some $k \in [0, b^m)$ and $r_0 \geq m + d$. Then $[(\mathbf{x}_k \oplus \mathbf{w})^{(i)}]_{r_i} = [\gamma^{(i)}]_{r_i} - b^{-r_i}$, $i = 1, ..., \dot{s}$. Hence $x_{k,j}^{(i)} \ominus x_{n_0,j}^{(i)} = 0$ for $j \in [1, r_i)$, $i = 1, ..., \dot{s}$. Therefore

$$\left\| x_k^{(i)} \ominus x_{n_0}^{(i)} \right\|_b \leq b^{-r_i} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, ..., \dot{s} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{x}_k \ominus \mathbf{x}_{n_0}\|_b \leq b^{-r_0} \leq b^{-m-d}.$$

By (3) and conditions of the lemma, we have a contradiction. Thus $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x}_k \oplus \mathbf{w}, J_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{0}}) = 0$.

We have $\Delta_4 \leq -\sum_{\mathbf{r} \in A_4} b^{m - r_0}$. We derive $\Delta_4 \leq -b^{-d} \# A_5$ with $A_5 = \{\mathbf{r} \in A_4 \mid r_0 = m + d\}$.
Let $\hat{j}_i \in \{0, ..., \dot{m} - 1\} \setminus B_i$, $\check{j}_i \in [0, \hat{e} - 1]$ and $r_i = \ddot{m}_i + d_0(\hat{e}\hat{j}_i + \check{j}_i + 1)$ for $i \in [1, \dot{s}]$. By (5), we get $\gamma_{r_i}^{(i)} = 1$ for $i \in [1, \dot{s}]$. Hence $A_5 \supseteq A_6$, where

$$A_6 = \{\mathbf{r} \mid r_0 = m + d,\ r_i = \ddot{m}_i + d_0(\hat{e}\hat{j}_i + \check{j}_i + 1),\ \ \hat{j}_i \in \{0, ..., \dot{m} - 1\} \setminus B_i,\ \check{j}_i \in [0, \hat{e} - 1],\ i \in [1, \dot{s}]\}.$$

Let $j_i = \hat{e}\hat{j}_i + \check{j}_i + 1$, for $i \in [1, \dot{s}]$. We have

$$r_0 = \ddot{m}_{\dot{s}} + d_0(j_1 + ... + j_{\dot{s}}) = m - t + d_0(j_1 + ... + j_{\dot{s}} - (\dot{s} - 1)\hat{e}\dot{m}) = m + d \text{ with } d_0 = d + t.$$

Hence $j_{\dot{s}} = (\dot{s} - 1)\hat{e}\dot{m} + 1 - j_1 - ... - j_{\dot{s}-1}$. It is easy to verify that $j_{\dot{s}} \in [1, \hat{e}\dot{m}]$ for $\hat{j}_i \in [\dot{m} - \dddot{m}, \dot{m} - 1]$, for $i \in [1, \dot{s} - 1]$, with $\dddot{m} = [\dot{m}/(\dot{s} - 1)]$. Thus $\# A_6 \geq \# A_7$, where

$$A_7 = \{(j_1,...,j_{\dot{s}-1}) \mid j_i = \hat{e}\hat{j}_i + \breve{j}_i + 1, \quad \hat{j}_i \in \{0,...,\dot{m}-1\} \setminus B_i, \quad \breve{j}_i \in [0,\hat{e}-1], \quad i \in [1,\dot{s}],$$
$$\hat{j}_i \in [\dot{m} - \dddot{m}, \dot{m}-1], \quad i \in [1,\dot{s}-1] \qquad \text{and} \qquad j_{\dot{s}} = (\dot{s}-1)\hat{e}\dot{m} + 1 - j_1 - ... - j_{\dot{s}-1}\}.$$

We obtain $\#A_7 \geq \#A_8 - \hat{e}\#B_{\dot{s}} m^{\dot{s}-2}$, where

$$A_8 = \{(j_1,...,j_{\dot{s}-1}) | j_i = \hat{e}\hat{j}_i + \breve{j}_i + 1, \ \hat{j}_i \in \{\dot{m} - \dddot{m},...,\dot{m}-1\} \setminus B_i, \breve{j}_i \in [0,\hat{e}-1], \ i \in [1,\dot{s}-1]\}.$$

Therefore

$$\#A_8 \hat{e}^{-\dot{s}+1} \geq \#\{(\hat{j}_1,...,\hat{j}_{\dot{s}-1}) \mid 1 \leq \hat{j}_i \leq \dddot{m} - \#B_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq \dot{s}-1\} \geq (\dddot{m} - B)^{\dot{s}-1}$$
$$= \dddot{m}^{\dot{s}-1}(1 - B/\dddot{m})^{\dot{s}-1} \geq \dddot{m}^{\dot{s}-1}\big(1 - (\dot{s}-1)B/\dddot{m}\big) \geq \big(m\epsilon(2(\dot{s}-1))^{-1}\big)^{\dot{s}-1} - (\dot{s}-1)B\dddot{m}^{\dot{s}-2}$$

for $m \geq 4\epsilon^{-1}(\dot{s}-1)(1+\dot{s}B) + 2t$. Therefore $\tilde{\Delta} \leq -b^{-d}\big(\hat{e}\epsilon(2(\dot{s}-1))^{-1}\big)^{\dot{s}-1} m^{\dot{s}-1} + b^{t+s} d_0 \hat{e} B m^{\dot{s}-2}$. Thus Lemma 1 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Using Lemma 1 with $\dot{s} = s$, $B_i = \emptyset$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$, $B = 0$, $\hat{e} = 1$, $\epsilon = (2(s-1)d_0)^{-1}$, $n_0 = 0$, and $\mathbf{w} = [\boldsymbol{\gamma} \ominus \mathbf{x}_0]_m$, we obtain the assertion of Theorem 1. □

Proof of Theorem 2. According to [3, Lemma 3.7], we have

$$1 + \sup_{1 \leq N \leq b^m} N D^*((\mathbf{x}_{n \oplus Q} \oplus \mathbf{w})_{n=0}^{N-1}) \geq b^m D^*((\mathbf{x}_{n \oplus Q} \oplus \mathbf{w}, n/b^m)_{n=0}^{b^m-1}) = b^m D^*((\mathbf{x}_n \oplus \mathbf{w}, (n \ominus Q)/b^m)_{n=0}^{b^m-1}).$$

By (3) and [1, Lemma 4.38], we have that $((\mathbf{x}_n, n/b^m)_{0 \leq n < b^m})$ is a $d-$admissible $(t,m,s+1)-$net in base b. Using Lemma 1 with $\dot{s} = s+1$, $x_n^{(s+1)} = n/b^m$, $B_i = \emptyset$ $(1 \leq i \leq s+1)$, $B = 0$, $\hat{e} = 1$, $\epsilon = (2sd_0)^{-1}$, $n_0 = Q \oplus \gamma^{(s+1)} b^m$, and $\mathbf{w} = ([(\gamma^{(1)},...,\gamma^{(s)}) \ominus \mathbf{x}_{n_0}]_m, -Q/b^m)$, we get the assertion of Theorem 2. □

Lemma 2. *Let $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a $(0,\mathbf{e},s)$ sequence in base b. Then $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is e_0-admissible.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is not a e_0 admissible. Then there exists $n_0 > k_0 \geq 0$ with $\|n_0 \ominus k_0\|_b \times \|\mathbf{x}_{n_0} \ominus \mathbf{x}_{k_0}\|_b \leq b^{-e_0-1}$. Let $\|n_0 \ominus k_0\|_b = b^{\tilde{d}}$, and let $\Big\|x_{n_0}^{(i)} \ominus x_{k_0}^{(i)}\Big\|_b = b^{-d_i-1}$ $(i = 1,...,s)$. Hence $\kappa := \tilde{d} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s}(d_i+1) + e_0 + 1 \leq 0$. Let $\dot{d}_i = [d_i/e_i]e_i \geq d_i - e_i + 1$, $a_i = [x_{n_0}^{(i)}]_{\dot{d}_i} b^{\dot{d}_i}$ $(i = 1,...,s)$ and let $J = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s}[a_i b^{-\dot{d}_i}, (a_i+1)b^{-\dot{d}_i})$. We have $x_{n_0,j}^{(i)} = x_{k_0,j}^{(i)}$ for all $j \in [1,d_i]$, $i \in [1,s]$. Hence $\mathbf{x}_{n_0}, \mathbf{x}_{k_0} \in J$. We derive

$$0 \geq \kappa = \tilde{d} + 1 - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s}(d_i - e_i + 1) \geq \tilde{d} + 1 - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \dot{d}_i, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \geq b^{\tilde{d}+1}\mathrm{Vol}(J). \tag{6}$$

Let $n_0 = \dot{n}_0 b^{\tilde{d}+1} + \ddot{n}_0$ where $\ddot{n}_0 \in [0, b^{\tilde{d}+1})$. It is easy to see that $k_0 = \dot{n}_0 b^{\tilde{d}+1} + \ddot{k}_0$ with some $\ddot{k}_0 \in [0, b^{\tilde{d}+1})$. Hence $n_0, k_0 \in [\dot{n}_0 b^{\tilde{d}+1}, (\dot{n}_0+1)b^{\tilde{d}+1}) =: W$. Thus $\sum_{n \in W} \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x}_n, J) \geq 2$. Bearing in mind (6), we obtain that $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is not $(0,\mathbf{e},s)$ sequence. We have a contradiction. Hence Lemma 2 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1,...,e_s)$. By [4] and [1, p.266], we have that $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a $(0,\mathbf{e},s)$ and $(e_0 - s, s)$ sequence. Applying Lemma 2 and Theorem 2, we obtain the assertion of Theorem 3. □

References

[1] Dick, J., Pillichshammer, F., Digital Nets and Sequences, Discrepancy Theory and Quasi-Monte Carlo Integration, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[2] Levin, M.B., On the lower bound of the discrepancy of (t,s) sequences: II, http://arXiv.org/abs/1505.04975

[3] Niederreiter, H., Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods, in: CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, vol. 63, SIAM, 1992.

[4] Tezuka, S., On the discrepancy of generalized Niederreiter sequences. Journal of Complexity, 29 (2013), 240-247.